District Goals	Performance Objective	Performance Measures	Unit of Measure	Actual	Actual	Actual	Target	Target
				11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16
		I. All Students EOCT						
		Georgia Milestones 9th Grade Literature			79.2%	84.0%	88.1%	89.6%
		Georgia Milestones 11th Grade literature	-	82.4%	88.4%	89.0%	91.8%	92.8%
		Georgia Milestones Co-ordinate Algebra			24.3%	24.6%	53.0%	60.8%
a	Content Mastery	Georgia Milestones U. S. History	% of students meeting or exceeding standards	64.8%	65.0%	65.7%	76.7%	79.6%
for		Georgia Milestones Economics		71.8%	69.0%	80.1%	81.8%	84.1%
nt 1		Georgia Milestones Physical Science		65.7%	too few	too few	83.3%	N/A
l me		Georgia Milestones Analytic Geometry				31.4%	50.3%	60.2%
) A		Georgia Milestones Biology		56.2%	57.0%	61.2%	79.5%	82.1%
achievement		CTAE/Advanced Academic/Fine Arts/World Langagues pathway courses  % of students completing pathway courses	82.4%	92.6%	89.1	100.0%	100.0%	
high academic	Post High School Readiness	CTAE Industry-Recognized Credential	% of CTAE pathway students earning credential	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		ACT work keys assessment	% of students earning a Work ready certificate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Technical school/college readiness	% of students entering technical school or university not requiring remediation courses	35.8%	31.8%	41.3	83.3%	83.3%
		Dual enrollment	% of students earning credits in dual enrollment, joint enrollment, move on when ready, AP, or IB	26.1%	25.1%	18.6%	73.0%	73.0%
Guarantee	(CCRPI)	Georgia High School Writing Test (GHSWT)	% of students scoring at profcient and exceeds	94.8%	93%	96%	N/A	N/A
Goal 1: Gu		Lexile Measurement	% of students achieving a Lexile measure greater than or equal to 1275 on the Georgia Milestones American Literature	18.5%	22.8%	25.5%	30.0%	35.0%
		Georgia Milestones Highest Performance	% of students scoring the highest level on the Gerogia Milestones	13.2%	14.7%	18.0%	50.7	50.7
		Student attendance	% of students absent 6 days or less	N/A	N/A	NA	45.0%	
		SAT Scores (New SAT)	% of students scoring 1550 or higher	N/A	N/A	N/A	8%	15%
	College Readiness	SAT Scores (New SAT)	average score	1224	1321	1331	1333	1330
	Keadiness	SAT Partcipation	# of students taking the SAT	133	86	62	115	70

District Goals	Performance Objective	Performance Measures	Unit of Measure	Actual	Actual	Actual	Target	Target
	Tonomanoe especiate	i direminate mededi ee	Sint of mousure	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16
		Students Completing SAT Prep Course	# Completing	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		ACT scores	% of graduates earning a 22	5.0%	12.0%	TBD	9.0%	
		ACT Composite scores	average score	17.4	18.1	17.7	17.8	20.0
all		ACT Participation	# of students taking the ACT	64	102	99	78	88
		AP Scores at "3" or Above	% AP exams at 3 or higher	10.7%	12.9%	14.0%	18.0%	20.0%
achievement for		AP Enrollment	# of students enrolled	84	62	88	115	100
l me		English Language and Composition (12th)	% of AP exams at 3 or higher	20.0%	35.0%	22.0%	40.0%	25.0%
eve	College Readiness	English Literature and Coposition (11th)	% of AP exams at 3 or higher	15.0%	21.0%	21.0%	40.0%	25.0%
Ichi		U.S. History	% of AP exams at 3 or higher	10.0%	12.0%	20.0%	44.0%	25.0%
Guarantee high academic a		World History	% of AP exams at 3 or higher	15.0%	N/A	18.0%	0.0%	20.0%
		Biology	% of AP exams at 3 or higher	5.0%	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%	10.0%
cac		Physics B	% of AP exams at 3 or higher	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%
Jh a		Statistics	% of AP exams at 3 or higher	N/A	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	8.0%
ee hiç		Government	% of AP exams at 3 or higher	N/A	0.0%	0.0%	28.0%	5.0%
arant		Calculus	% of AP exams at 3 or higher	N/A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%
1: Gu		Art	% of AP exams at 3 or higher	N/A	N/A	N/A	20.0%	25.0%
Goal		Chemistry % of AP exams at 3 or higher 5.0%	5.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.0%	
		Graduation Rate-All students (cohort)	% of students graduating with a full dipolma in 4 years (cohort rate)	63.8%	70.5%	71.5%	78.3%	78.3%
	Graduation Rate	Graduation Rate-All students (cohort)	% of students graduating with a full dipolma in 5 years (cohort rate)	73.0%	67.3%	72.3%	78.3%	78.3%

			ek riigii serioor Balaneea seoreea	Actual	Actual	Actual	Target	Target
District Goals	Performance Objective	Performance Measures	Unit of Measure	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16
		Physics course success	% of students earning credit	21.6%	35.3%	62.7%	88.7%	88.7%
		9th grade SWD success	Percent of first time 9th grade students with disabilities earning 3 Carnegie Unit Credits in 3 core content areas and scoring at	24.0%	19.4%	20.0%	100.0%	100.0%
r all		9th grade success	Percent of first time 9th grade students earning 4 Carnegie Unit Credits in 4 core content areas and scoring at Meets or Exceeds on all required EOCT	39.4%	21.1%	23.1%	93.4%	93.4%
ent fo		STEM certification	School has earned a Georgia Science, Technology, Engineering and Math (STEM) Program Certification	N/A	N/A	TBD	Y	Υ
ven		English Language Learners	from one Performance Band to a higher	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
c achie	Exceeding The Bar	Work based leaning or Capstone Project	% of graduates completing a career-related Work-Based Learning Program or a career- related Capstone Project	4.1	94.5	6.8	99.7	99.7
emi		World languages	% of students earning 3 or more high school credits in on world language	N/A	N/A	29.2%	N/A	N/A
acad		Statewide Longitudinal Data Systems (SLDS)	% of teachers utilizing the Statewide Longitudinal Data Systems (SLDS)	N/A	N/A	N	Y	Y
Goal 1: Guarantee high academic achievement for		School designed innovative practice	School or LEA-defined innovative practice accompanied by documented data supporting improved student achievement examples include but are not limited toparticipation in Charter System status, students enrolled in a Georgia College and Career Academy, partner participation in Race to the TOP award, participation in Striving Reader initiative, participation in dual language immersion program, participation in Literacy Design Collaborative (LDC) and/or Mathematics Design Collaborative (MDC), comprehensive implementation of Response to Intervention (RTI) and/or Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS)	N/A	N/A	N	Y	Y

District Goals	Performance Objective	Is Performance Objective	Performance Measures	Unit of Measure	Actual	Actual	Actual	Target	Target
			11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16		
academic achievement	Exceeding The	School designed intervention	School or LEA-defined interventions or practices designed to facilitate a personalized climate in the school - examples include but are not limited to-comprehensive Teachers as Advisors program, comprehensive mentoring program, Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS), service-learning programs, peer mediation, conflict mediation. (operational in 2013-2014)	N/A	N/A	Y	Y	Y	
high	Bar	Percent of tested students scoring at a proficient level on a Soft Skills Assessment	Percent of tested students scoring at a proficient level on a Soft Skills Assessment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Guarantee		School's average score on the Georgia Teacher Effectiveness Measurement	School's average score on the Georgia Teacher Effectiveness Measurement	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Goal 1:		School's average score on the Georgia Leader Effectiveness Measurement	School's average score on the Georgia Leader Effectiveness Measurement	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

District Goal 2	Dayfaymana Ohiastiya	ective Performance Measures Unit of Measure	Unit of Managers	Actual	Actual	Actual	Target	Target
District Goal 2	Performance Objective	Performance Measures	Onit of Measure	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	14/15
a	Improve stakeholder satisfaction with	School personnel perception of principal	% agreeing or strongly agreeing on TKES staff survey	N/A	88.0%	89.0%	82.0%	TBD
		Parent perception of principal	% agreeing on staff survey	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD
n th	Administration	Student perception of principal	% agreeing on student survey	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD
/ithi	Improve	Staff perception of quality	% agreeing on staff survey	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD
N C	stakeholder satisfaction with	Parent/community perception of quality	% agreeing on parent/com. survey	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD
ratio	quality education	Student perceptions of quality	% agreeing on student survey	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD
Collaboration within the	Increase student engagement Increase staff engagement	Student attendance	Average daily attendance	92.2%	92.3%	92.3%	92.9%	TBD
d Coll		Staff Attendance	Staff attendance %	N/A	96.0%	TBD	TBD	TBD
็ก an	Increase community engagement	Community/Business Partnerships	# of partnerships	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD
Communication and Community	Increase parental engagement	Volunteer Hours (Adult)	Total number of volunteeer hours	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD
nmur L	Enhance	TKES standard 3: instructional strategies staff rating	Summative score from TKES TLE Portal	N/A	94.0%	TBD	97.0%	TBD
	Instructional Program	TKES standard 4: Differentiation strategies staff rating	Summative score from TKES TLE Portal	N/A	57.0%	TBD	80.0%	TBD
Ensure		Teacher use of Technology	Survey rating	N/A	N/A	100.0%	TBD	TBD
••		Media Program	% scoring proficient or higher on rubric	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD
Goal 2:	Enhance Student	Staff & student perception of Instructional Coach	Survey rating	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD
	Support Services	Guidance Program	% scoring proficient or higher on rubric	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD
		Staff & student perception of Guidance Department	% scoring proficient or higher on rubric	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD

District Goal 3	Performance Objective	Performance Measures	Unit of Measure	Actual	Actual	Actual	Target	Target
		On Time Bus Delivery to Cross Creek	On time bus delivery monthly average	56.0%	65%	75.0%	100.0%	100.0%
.hy		Preventable student accidents	# of accident reports	31	51	60	30	30
healthy	Enhance Orderly Operational	Health & Sanitation Scores for Lunchroom	Average yearly health inspection rating	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
d h	Processes	Facility Inspection Scores	Average facility inspection scores	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/	N/
, and nt		Perception of Facility Cleanliness	Survey rating	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD	TBD
orderly, ironmen	Enhance Personnel Processes	Use of highly qualified teachers	% of cert. teachers highly qualified	94.96%	96.86	TBD	100.0%	100.0%
ron		New teachers with the school after 3 years	% of new teachers	N/A	N/A	TBD	100%	100%
safe, orderly, a		Staff Retention Rate (excluding retirement and budget cuts)	Staff retention %	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
Sig	Improve Financial	Statement of Assurance	Assurances submitted monthly	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ
	Processes	Assurance discrepancies	Number of discrepancies listed for year	TBD	TBD	TBD	0	0
Provide learn		Student perception of climate		N/A	N/A	N/A	70.10%	75%
	Promote a School	Parent perception of climate	% agreeing that schools are safe on GSHS	N/A	N/A	N/A	75.95%	80%
3:	Climate that is Safe and Healthy	Staff perception of climate		N/A	N/A	72%	76.98%	80%
Goal		CCRPI School Climate Rating	Number of stars out of 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	5
	Improve Continuous	SACS accredited school	YES/NO	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Rating Scale:

Baseline data year

Met or exceeded target

Maintained or progress towards target

Below expectations